Molecular titanium nitrides: nucleophiles unleashed†
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In this contribution we present reactivity studies of a rare example of a titanium salt, in the form of [μ₂-K(OEt)_2](PN)_2Ti=N=Ti(PN)_2 (1) (PN = N-(2-(dipropylphosphinophenoxy)-4-methylphenyl)-2,4,6-trimethylanilide) to produce a series of imide moieties including rare examples such as methylidino, borylimido, phosphonylimido, and a parent imido. For the latter, using various weak acids allowed us to narrow the pK_a range of the NH group in (PN)_2Ti=NH to be between 26–36. Complex 1 could be produced by a reductively promoted elimination of N_2 from the azide precursor (PN)_2TiN_3, whereas reductive splitting of N_2 could not be achieved using the complex (PN)_2Ti=N=N=Ti(PN)_2 (2) and a strong reductant. Complete N-atom transfer reactions could also be observed when 1 was treated with CIC(O)Bu and OCCPh_2 to form NCtBu and KNCCPh_2, respectively, along with the terminal oxo complex (PN)_2Ti=O, which was also characterized. A combination of solid state ^15N NMR (MAS) and theoretical studies allowed us to understand the shielding effect of the counter cation in dimer 1, the monomer [K(18-crown-6)[(PN)_2Ti=N=N=Ti(PN)_2] as well as the origin of the highly downfield ^15N NMR resonance when shifting from dimer to monomer to a terminal nitride (discrete salt). The upfield shift of ^15N nitride resonance in the ^15N NMR spectrum was found to be linked to the K⁺ induced electronic structural change of the titanium-nitride functionality by using a combination of MO analysis and quantum chemical analysis of the corresponding shielding tensors.

Introduction

Investigation of transition metal nitrides by synthetic and physical chemists alike over the past several decades has revealed the relevance of this ubiquitous functional group to industrial and biological processes, as well as their applications in materials and surface chemistry.1–7 Nitride reactivity can be tuned with the appropriate transition metal ion to render this site either nucleophilic or electrophilic. High oxidation states, generally, can be stabilized with this type of motif and are known to participate in reactivity spanning various transformations such as N-atom transfer reactions and nitrile-alkyne cross-metathesis,9,10 and also to act as surface supports that engage in important industrial processes such as Haber–Bosch11–14 and hydrodenitrogenation and hydrodesulfurization.15–19 The nitride ligand can also provide a realistic snapshot of the active site of nitrogenases through molecular platforms modeling N_2 reductive splitting reactions.6,20 Additionally, nitride-based materials are investigated for use in thin films, often derived via chemical vapor deposition and by direct current electron sputtering.21–25 Consequentially, the nitride group is extremely important in small molecule activation, in modeling and performing N_2 to ammonia synthesis, and in producing new materials with important electronic properties or applications.

While the synthesis of both terminal bound as well as bridging metal nitrides are rather routine for groups 6–7 transition metals,7,26–29 a convergent synthesis of these highly nucleophilic terminal transition metal nitrides for groups 4 or 5 metals has not been documented until recently, and hence, their reactivity has been rather unexplored when compared to groups 6 and 7 derivatives.30–41 Of particular interest are group 4 terminally bound Ti nitrides, expected to be highly ionic given the disparity in Pauling electronegativities between Ti (1.5) and N (3.0). Only in certain cases could the nitride ligand be installed with protecting Lewis acidic groups (Fig. 1).42–44 However, examples of well-defined group 4 nitrides are indeed known, and can be isolated as dinuclear (Fig. 1), trinuclear (Fig. 1), tetranuclear, and even hexanuclear species.42–51 Thus, we focused our attention on group 4, especially on Ti, since reactivity studies of mononuclear nitrides in this group have largely evaded the reach of the synthetic chemist until
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The fact that mononuclear titanium nitrides are exceedingly rare is rather surprising since group 4 nitrides have been proposed in $\text{N}_2$ activation and reductive splitting reactions, and the nitride group is often generated in route to the deposition of thin metal nitride films. The elusive nature of terminal titanium nitrides, adjunct with the wealth of useful reactivity established in mid-transition metal nitrides, calls for the attention to probe the nature of this highly polarized bond and to determine the degree of nucleophilicity in this rather uncharacterized motif.

Recently, we reported the synthesis of a dinuclear titanium-nitride complex supported by a sterically encumbering $\beta$-diketiminato (BDI) ligand (Fig. 2). However, due to degradation in this ligand scaffold, as well as other decomposition pathways, reactivity studies of this system were quite restricted. We turned instead to new titanium nitrides supported by two PN/C0 ligands ($\text{PN/C0} = \text{N}-(2-(\text{diisopropylphosphino})-4-\text{methylphenyl})-2,4,6\text{-trimethylanilide}$, Fig. 2), derived from a more direct route via reduction of the corresponding azide precursor. This ligand framework was selected as it was speculated to be more robust, lacking vulnerable protons in the ligand backbone, as well as the imine group present in $\beta$-diketiminates, which can be split under reducing conditions. Inspired by Parkin and Woo’s five coordinate $\text{L}_2\text{Ti}^2$ complexes ($\text{L}_2^2 = \text{meso}$-substituted porphyrin, octamethylbenzotetraaza[14]annulene; $X = \text{chalcogen or imide group}$), we hypothesized that two PN$^-$ ligands could enforce a pseudo tetragonal ligand field environment suitable for the construction of a terminally bound nitride or other isoelectronic atoms or groups. Fig. 3 depicts a simplified d-orbital splitting diagram for a square planar Ti$^{4+}$ fragment supported by four $s$-donor nitrogen ligands, two of which could also serve as $p$-donors in a transoid orientation, akin to the ubiquitous porphyrin scaffold. For a $d^0$ fragment, the empty and hybridized $d_z^2$ as well as $\pi$-like $d_{xz}$ and $d_{yz}$ orbitals are available to form a triple bond with an incoming axial ligand. Further evidence that a chelating ligand such as PN$^-$ could enable a more robust Ti–X multiple bond (such as a nitride) derived from the fact that terminally bound chalcogenido complexes of the Ti$^{4+}$ ion supported by two monoanionic benzamidinate ligands could be isolated as demonstrated in the work by Arnold. However, unlike the highly constrained porphyrin or octamethylbenzotetraaza[14]annulene dianion ligands, the use of two chelating ligands allows for a more flexible geometric interplay between trigonal bipyramidal and...
square pyramidal scaffolds when such a system is confronted by a fifth ligand.

Isolation of the dinuclear, or mononuclear titanium nitride complexes having the anionic core $[[\text{PN}]_2\text{Ti} \equiv \equiv \text{N}]^-$, presents an exciting opportunity to exploit such functionality, either by salt elimination followed by complete and incomplete N-atom transfer. Herein, we report reactivity of the dinuclear nitride complex, and we report salt elimination reactions, some of which resulted in complete N-atom transfer. In conjunction with solution-state spectroscopic studies of these species we also report solid state $^{15}\text{N}$ NMR spectroscopy (via MAS) to further elucidate the electronic nature of the Ti–N nitride bond and the effect on this bond by cation coordination. In addition, with the aid of theory we also carry out a detailed study of the Ti–N nitride multiple bond and the role of the counter cation in the Ti–N nitride bonding.

**Experimental section**

**General procedures**

Unless otherwise stated, all operations were performed in a M. Braun Lab Master double-dry box under an atmosphere of purified dinitrogen or using high vacuum standard Schlenk techniques under an argon atmosphere. NMR spectra were recorded on a Bruker AV-II 500 MHz spectrometer for $^{13}\text{C}$ spectra, and a Bruker AVIII 400 MHz spectrometer for $^1\text{H}$ and $^{31}\text{P}$($^1\text{H}$) spectra. $^1\text{H}$ NMR spectra are reported with reference to residual proteo solvent resonances of benzene-d$_6$ at 7.16 ppm. $^{13}\text{C}$($^1\text{H}$) NMR spectra were referenced to solvent resonances of benzene-d$_6$ at 128.06 ppm. $^{31}\text{P}$($^1\text{H}$) NMR spectra were referenced to external $\text{H}_3\text{PO}_4$ (0 ppm). Pentane, hexanes, benzene, and toluene were purchased from Fisher Scientific and stabilizer-free diethylether (Et$_2$O) and tetrahydrofuran (THF) were purchased from Sigma Aldrich. Solvents were sparged with argon for 20 minutes and dried using a two-column solvent purification system where columns designated for pentane, hexanes, benzene, and toluene were packed with Q5 and alumina respectively, and columns designated for Et$_2$O and THF were packed with alumina. Deuterated benzene and deuterated toluene were purchased from Cambridge Isotope Laboratories (CIL) and were dried over 4 Å sieves, and degassed by freeze–pump–thaw cycles. All solvents were transferred into a dry box and were stored over 4 Å sieves. All sieves were heated to 200 °C under vacuum overnight prior to use. Celite used for filtrations was also heated to 200 °C under vacuum overnight prior to use. Compounds 1, 1-$^{15}\text{N}$, [K(2,2,2-Kryptofix)] $[[\text{PN}]_2\text{Ti} \equiv \equiv ^{15}\text{N}]]$, and (PN)$_2$TiCl were prepared following published procedures. The UV-Vis absorption spectra were obtained in an A–young valve 1 cm quartz cell on a Cary 5000 UV-Vis-NIR. Elemental analyses were performed at a FLASH EA 1112 Series CHN analyzer (Thermo Finnigan).

$$\text{(PN)}_2\text{Ti}=\text{N} \equiv =\text{Ti(PN)}_2 (2).$$

In a 20 mL scintillation vial, (PN)$_2$TiCl (700 mg, 0.916 mmol, 1 equiv.) was dissolved in 5 mL toluene as a dark brown solution. To this solution was added a 5 mL slurry of $\text{K}_3$ (123.8 mg, 0.916 mmol, 1 equiv.) while stirring with a glass coated metal stirbar. The mixture was stirred overnight at room temperature, during which time it became a deep red color. This solution was filtered over a thick pad of Celite. The product is highly insoluble, so the Celite pad was washed with 80 mL of toluene in order to extract the product free from the salt. The filtrate solution was concentrated to 50 mL, and then layered with 20 mL of hexane. Storage at −35 °C overnight resulted in the formation of a magenta powder, which was isolated over a frit, followed by washing with 10 mL cold toluene (673 mg, 0.458 mmol, 49%). Single crystals suitable for X-ray diffraction could be grown from a concentrated benzene solution after one week at room temperature. $^1\text{H}$ NMR (400 MHz, 25 °C, benzene-d$_6$): δ 7.04 (br, $\Delta R_{1/2} = 8$ Hz, 2H, meta-$\text{ArH}_{\text{Mesityl}}$), 6.85 (dd, $\mathcal{J}_{3\text{H}-\text{H}} = 4.12$ Hz, 1H, meta-$\text{ArH}_{\text{Tolyl}}$), 6.73 (s, 1H, meta-$\text{ArH}_{\text{Mesityl}}$), 5.85 (dd, $\mathcal{J}_{3\text{H}-\text{H}} = 4.10$ Hz, 1H, ortho-$\text{ArH}_{\text{Tolyl}}$), 2.87 (s, 3H, $\text{CH}_3_{\text{Tolyl}}$), 2.73 (br, 1H, $\text{P}-\text{CH}–\text{CH}_3$), 2.23 (s, 3H, ortho-$\text{CH}_{3}$), 1.87 (s, 3H, para-$\text{CH}_{3}$), 1.40 (d, $\mathcal{J}_{3\text{H}-\text{H}} = 4.16$ Hz, 3H, $\text{P}–\text{CH}–\text{CH}_3$), 0.54 (br, 3H, P–CH–CH$_3$) (31P($^1\text{H}$) NMR (162 MHz, 25 °C, benzene-d$_6$): δ 18.98 (s, 2P, PN). Unfortunately, the poor solubility of this complex prevented us from obtaining reliable $^{13}\text{C}$ NMR spectra. Anal. calcd for $\text{C}_{23}\text{H}_{33}\text{N}_2\text{P}_2\text{Ti}_2$: (including 1 benzene per dinuclear complex): C: 72.20, H: 8.38, N: 5.37. Found: C: 73.18, H: 9.19, N: 3.96. The loss of N$_2$ in the complex resulted in a lower % of nitrogen.
(PN)₃Ti=NMMe (3). In a 20 mL scintillation vial, compound 1 (200 mg, 0.105 mmol, 1 equiv.) was dissolved in 5 mL toluene. To this light orange solution was added methyl iodide dropwise by microsyringe (13.3 μL, 0.210 mmol, 2 equiv.) while stirring at room temperature. The color of the solution changed during addition of methyl iodide from light orange to dark red. The solution was stirred at room temperature for 15 minutes. Volatiles were removed under vacuum, resulting in a dark red residue. The residue was triturated three times with 5 mL of pentane. The resulting powder was dissolved in 5 mL pentane and filtered through celite to remove the salt. Crystallization from the 5 mL filtrate solution overnight at −35 °C resulted in the isolation of red crystals (149.9 mg, 0.198 mmol, 94.6%), which were suitable for X-ray diffraction studies. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, 25 °C, benzene-d₆): δ 6.91 (br, 2H, meta-HMesityl), 6.78 (s, 1H, meta-HTolyl), 6.89 (br, Δν/2 = 2 Hz, 1H, meta-HTolyl), 5.84 (dd, 3JH-H = 4.10 Hz, 1H, ortho-HTolyl), 2.63 (s, 3H, NCH₃), 2.69 (br, Δν/2 = 20 Hz, 3H, P-CH₃), 2.23 (s, 3H CH₃C₆H₄), 2.17 (s, 3H, para-CH₃Mesityl), 2.47 (br, 3H, P-CH₂), 0.81 (br, 3H, P-CH₂CH₃), 0.34 (br, 1H, P-CH₂CH₂), 31P{¹H} NMR (162 MHz, 25 °C, benzene-d₆): δ 12.48 (s, 2P, PN). ¹³C{¹H} NMR (125.8 MHz, 25 °C, benzene-d₆): δ 161.8 (Ar-C), 147.3 (Ar-C), 133.4 (Ar-C), 131.9 (Ar-C), 123.8 (Ar-C), 112.9 (Ar-C), 112.8 (Ar-C), 57.44 (NCH₃), 20.9 (PCH(CH₃))₂, 20.7 (PCH(CH₃)), 18.4 (Ar-CH₃), 16.0 (Ar-CH₃). We were unable to obtain satisfactorily elemental analysis due to the thermal sensitivity of this complex.

(PN)₃Ti=NTMS (4). In a 20 mL scintillation vial, compound 1 (166 mg, 0.088 mmol, 1 equiv.) was dissolved in 3 mL toluene as a light orange solution. To this solution was added trimethyloxylazine dropwise via a microsyringe (23 μL, 0.175 mmol, 2 equiv.) while stirring. The solution rapidly turned to a deep red, along with precipitation of a solid that deposited on the walls of the vial. The volatiles were taken to dryness after 5 minutes of stirring, and the resulting red residue was triturated with 5 mL pentane resulting in a dark red powder. This powder was dissolved in 5 mL pentane, filtered through celite, and the filtrate concentrated to 3 mL. Cooling to −35 °C overnight resulted in the isolation of dark red crystals suitable for X-ray diffraction (182.2 mg, 0.212 mmol, 94.1%). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, 25 °C, benzene-d₆): δ 7.05 (s, 2H, meta-HMesityl), 6.95 (br, Δν/2 = 20 Hz, 2H, meta-HMesityl), 6.82 (br, Δν/2 = 20 Hz, 1H, ortho-HMesityl), 2.95 (br, 3H, P-CH₂CH₃), 2.86 (s, 3H, CH₃C₆H₄), 2.21 (s, 3H, CH₃C₆H₄), 2.16 (s, 3H, para-CH₃Mesityl), 2.12 (s, 6H, ortho-CH₃Mesityl), 1.96 (sept, 3JH-H = 4.31 Hz, 1H, N PCH(CH₃)₂), 1.61, 1.49 (br, 3H, NPCH(CH₃)₂), 0.30 (br, Δν/2 = 28 Hz, 1H, P-CH₂CH₂). ³¹P{¹H} NMR (162 MHz, 25 °C, benzene-d₆): δ 152.33 (br, Δν/2 = 16 Hz, 1P, NP₂Pr₃), 13.65 (br, Δν/2 = 30 Hz, 2P, PN). ¹³C{¹H} NMR (125.8 MHz, 25 °C, benzene-d₆): δ 137.8 (Ar-C), 133.6 (Ar-C), 130.2 (Ar-C), 127.5 (Ar-C), 124.7 (Ar-C), 113.2 (Ar-C), 22.1 (NPCH(CH₃)₂), 20.9 (NPCH(CH₃)₂), 20.7 (PCH(CH₃)₂), 18.5 (Ar-CH₃), 14.4 (Ar-CH₃). We were unable to obtain satisfactorily elemental analysis due to the thermal sensitivity of this complex.

(PN)₃Ti=NBCat (6). In a 20 mL scintillation vial, compound 1 (297.5 mg, 0.157 mmol, 1 equiv.) was dissolved 5 mL toluene. To this light orange solution was added a 5 mL colorless toluene solution of chlorocatecholborane at room temperature (48.4 mg, 0.314 mmol, 2 equiv.). The solution immediately turned a deep green in color. After stirring at room temperature for 15 minutes, a colorless solid was observed on the walls of the vial, and all volatiles were removed under vacuum. The green residue was triturated once with 7 mL pentane, and then dissolved in 10 mL pentane. The solution was filtered through celite, and then the filtrate solution concentrated to 5 mL. Large green block crystals formed after cooling the solution overnight to −35 °C (239.5 mg, 0.278 mmol, 88.5%). Suitable crystals for single crystal X-ray diffraction studies were grown from the saturated hexane solution at −35 °C. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, 25 °C, benzene-d₆): δ 7.05 (dd, 3JH-H = 4.10 Hz, 1H, meta-HTolyl), 6.92 (br, Δν/2 = 8 Hz, 2H, meta-HMesityl), 6.84 (s, 1H, meta-HTolyl), 6.82 (s, 2H, Ar-Hcatechol), 6.75 (m, 3JH-H = 8.21 Hz, 1H, ortho-HTolyl), 2.96 (s, 3H, CH₃C₆H₄), 2.35 (sept, 3JH-H = 4.55 Hz, 1H, P-CH₂CH₂), 2.26 (s, 3H, ortho-CH₃Mesityl), 2.12 (s, 3H, ortho-CH₃Mesityl), 2.13 (s, 3H, para-CH₃Mesityl), 1.40 (br, 3H, P-CH₂CH₂), 0.80 (br, 3H, P-CH₂CH₃), 0.23 (sept, 3JH-H = 4.00 Hz, 1H, P-CH₂CH₂). ³¹P{¹H} NMR (162 MHz, 25 °C, benzene-d₆): δ 17.19 (s, 2P, PN). ¹³C{¹H} NMR (125.8 MHz, 25 °C, benzene-d₆): δ 161.4 (Ar-C), 148.8 (Cat-C), 145.6 (Ar-C), 138.5 (Ar-C), 134.5 (Ar-C), 133.6 (Ar-C), 131.8 (Ar-C), 130.8 (Ar-C), 129.9 (Ar-C), 122.0 (Cat-C), 113.3 (Ar-C), 111.9 (Cat-C), 111.5 (Ar-C), 21.5 (PCH(CH₃)₂), 21.3 (PCH(CH₃)₂), 20.9 (PCH(CH₃)₂), 20.6 (PCH(CH₃)₂), 20.0 (PCH(CH₃)₂), 17.9 (Ar-CH₃), 15.9 (Ar-CH₃). Unfortunately, we were unable to observe a ¹¹B resonance in the ¹¹B NMR spectrum. We were unable to obtain satisfactorily elemental analysis due to the thermal sensitivity of this complex.

(PN)₃Ti=NENN (7). Experimental note: synthesis of 7 must be conducted in the absence of light; further chemical
transformation proceed in ambient light. Compound 1 (300 mg, 0.16 mmol, 1 equiv.) was dissolved in 6 mL toluene in a 20 mL vial. To this light orange solution was added a 5 mL colorless toluene solution of hexamethyldisilazane (66.3 µL, 0.32 mmol, 2 equiv.) at room temperature. Immediate color change to a magenta color was observed, and the solution was stirred for 15 minutes at ambient temperature. The solution was taken to dryness and the deep red oil was triturated with 5 mL of pentane three times. This red powder was then dissolved in 7 mL pentane, filtered over celite, and concentrated to 4 mL. Cooling overnight to −35 °C resulted in the formation of dark red microcrystals (198 mg, 0.267 mmol, 83.6%). Crystals suitable for X-ray diffraction were grown from a dilute solution of 7 in THF/ pentane after 2 nights at −35 °C. 1H NMR (400 MHz, 25 °C, benzene-d6): δ 7.01 (dd, JH-H = 4.53 Hz, 1H, meta- ArMes(CMe2P)2), 6.88 (br, 2H, meta- ArMes(CMe2P)2), 6.80 (s, 1H, meta- ArMes(CMe2P)2), 5.81 (dd, JH-H = 4.14 Hz, 1H, ortho- ArMes(CMe2P)2), 5.07 (s, 1H, Ti N). 13C{1H} NMR (125.8 MHz, 25 °C, benzene-d6): δ 161.9 (Ar-C), 145.3 (Ar-C), 138.3 (Ar-C), 134.2 (Ar-C), 133.7 (Ar-C), 131.7 (Ar-C), 130.5 (Ar-C), 124.7 (Ar-C), 113.1 (Ar-C), 20.9 (PCH(CH3)2), 19.6 (PCH(CH3)2), 15.6 (Ar-CH3), 15.9 (Ar-CH3). We were unable to obtain satisfactorily elemental analysis due to the thermal sensitivity of this complex.

Results and discussion

Recently, we reported that the azide complex (PN)2Ti(N3), readily prepared from (PN)2TiCl and NaN3, could undergo reductive extrusion of N2 with KC8 in Et2O to form the nitride salt [μ2-K(OEt3)2][[(PN)2Ti≡N]} (1) along with graphite (Scheme 1). This route circumvents a radical mechanism commonly observed in the formation of the parent imido (μBDI) Ti≡NH(N(toly1))2, which results in much lower yield due to the sacrificial H-atom source deriving from the ligand scaffold. In addition, the introduction of the nitride group directly from the azide skip an additional deprotonation step involving the parent imido. To our surprise however, we found that the dinitrogen complex (PN)2Ti≡N=N≡Ti(PN)2 (2), a species prepared in 49% yield from KC8 reduction of (PN)2TiCl under N2, cannot be fragmented with excess reductant (such as KC8) to form two equivalents of complex 1. This route would undoubtedly provide a more atom economical route to 1 using a vast resource such as atmospheric N2. Complex 2 is a diamagnetic species, displaying one single PN chemical environment by both 1H and 31P NMR spectroscopy, and as shown in Fig. 4, its solid state structure reveals a topologically linear TiN2Ti moiety where the N–N bond has been partially reduced by 2e (1.252(8) Å versus 1.0976 Å in free N3). Although a formal N24– ligand would intuitively account for its diamagnetic nature, the observed N–N and Ti–N distances and computed bond orders argue for 2 possessing two Ti(m) centers that strongly antiferromagnetically couple, i.e. its core can be best

Scheme 1 Synthesis of complex 1 from reductive splitting of an azide with KC8. Also shown is the attempted synthesis of 1 from reductive splitting of N2 in 2 with excess KC8.
characterized formally as Ti=N=N=Ti. In stark contrast to 2, Fryzuk and co-workers have observed N2 splitting reactions with low-valent titanium reagents, via reduction of [NPN]TiCl2[NPN2/C0=PhP(CH2SiMe2NPh)2], to produce transient titanium nitrides which then undergo insertion into the Ti–P linkages of the ligand.

For us, we attribute the lack of reactivity in 2 to being reduced is likely to be kinetic as well as thermodynamic in nature. Akin to vanadium(II) dinitrogen complexes prepared in our group, we propose the rigid PN ligands in a putative species such as 22− (22− represents 2 being reduced by two electrons) to disfavor the mixing of triplet and singlet subspaces that is critical for the dinitrogen cleavage process. In addition, the reactive nature of 1 suggests the formation of a nitride from N2 to be thermodynamically less stable than 22−, a common trait also observed in vanadium nitrides versus dinitrogen complexes. Compound 2 is remarkably stable, failing to react with electrophiles as well as reductants, and further attempts to functionalize the N2 have been unsuccessful. Arnold and co-workers have observed formation of a similar complex to 2 using benzimidinate ligands.

Reactivity studies of the titanium nitride with electrophiles

Although bridging derivatives of group 4 methyl imides have been reported,63,64 terminally bound examples are unknown. Accordingly, we treated compound 1 with MeI in toluene at 25 °C causing an immediate color change from orange to dark red. Workup of the reaction mixture and recrystallization of the solid from pentane at ~35 °C allowed for the isolation of the methyl-imide complex (PN)2Ti=NMe (3) in ~95% yield (Scheme 2). The 31P NMR spectrum of 3 features a singlet at 12.45 ppm, shifted slightly upfield from 1 (7.23 ppm), while the methyl imide resonance is observed at 2.63 ppm in the 1H NMR spectrum and correlated to a resonance at 57.4 ppm in the 13C NMR spectrum. A solid state structural analysis confirmed the monomeric nature of 3 (Fig. 6), and revealed a short Ti–N distance of 1.709(3) Å with a linear Ti–N–Me angle of 178.5(4)° (Table 1). While the geometry of 1 (t5 = 0.529) is between idealized trigonal bipyramidal (t5 = 1) and square pyramidal (t5 = 0), conversion to the imide species 3 (t5 = 0.721) alters the geometry more towards a trigonal bipyramidal environment.

Treatment of 1 with certain azide reagents can also result in salt elimination. For example, combining 1 with N3SiMe3 yields KN3 along with the trimethylsilylimide (PN)2Ti=N{SiMe3}(4) in ~90% yield as a deep red colored material (Scheme 2). Complexes...
4 shows similar spectroscopic features to 3 with the trimethyl resonance being observed at 0.22 ppm in the 1H NMR spectrum. An IR spectrum of the reaction mixture confirms the formation of KN3 (PNs = 2030 cm−1). The synthesis of complex 4 was also confirmed by monitoring reactivity of 1 with Me3SiCl by 1H NMR spectroscopy. To probe the reaction mechanism with Me3SiN3, a 15N enriched sample of 1, [m2-K(OEtl)]2− {[PN]2Ti=[15N]}2 (1)-15N was prepared and treated with Me3SiCl to independently prepare [PN]2Ti=[15N]Me3SiMe3 (4)-15N. As expected, a resonance at 534 ppm in 15N NMR was attributable to the inside isotopomer species 4-15N. A further experiment of 1-15N with Me3SiN3 reproduced the signal for 4-15N in the 15N NMR spectrum, showing that this reaction is proceeding by salt elimination rather than by cyclodaddition or a siyltropic shift. This mechanism follows the predicted behavior in reactivity with regard to the nucleophilic nitride attacking at the electrophilic siyl group of the azide. Consistent with our hypothesis, there was no reactivity between 1 and adamantyl azide or triazide. The solid-state structure of 4 shows an overall similar geometry to 3 but where the Ti-N distance has now been elongated to 1.730(2) Å, consistent with the presence of an electrophilic SiMe3 group. This is similar to reported Ti-N distances in Ti=NSiMe3 functionalities,54-58 although some shorter derivatives have been reported to be as low as ~1.6 Å.79 The Ti–N–Si is slightly bent at nitrogen at 168.06(1)° (Fig. 6, Table 1). In stark contrast to 3, the geometry of 4 remains quite similar to 1, confined between trigonal bipyramidal and square pyramidal (τs = 0.557).

In pursuit of other rare functional imide groups we treated complex 1 with ClPPr2. Upon addition of the electrophile, the reaction mixture changed color from orange to dark green. Workup of the reaction allowed for isolation of a green colored reaction mixture (Fig. 6, Table 1). This latter metrical parameter is similar to hydrazone complexes reported by Mountford and Odom, with Ti=N distances varying from 1.703–1.76 Å. Shorter Ti–N distances have been reported in some instances, attributable to NR3 + groups on the imido moiety.74-78 Complex 5 crystallizes in space group monoclinic 1/2 0 1 7 , a and the molecule lies along a two-fold axis. Consequently, this two-fold axis in turn causes disorder in the Pr groups of the phosphonoyl group. Hence, we restrain ourselves from discussing metrical parameters in detail. However, the gross solid-state structure of 5 reveals a bent TiNPr2 moiety thus rendering both phosphorus groups on the PN ligands inequivalent (Fig. 5).

Early-transition borylimidos are rare functional groups in inorganic chemistry. Only two examples of titanium have been reported, both being derived from non-conventional routes involving B–B, B–H, and B–C bond activation reactions.55,79 Our ability to prepare nitride 1 allows us to explore simple saltmetathesis reactions to construct these archetypal functionalities. Hence, treating 1 with ClBcat (cat = catechol) resulted in clean conversion to the borylimido (PN)2Ti=N{Bcat} (6) in ~89% yield after subsequent workup of the reaction mixture.

Table 1 Selected metrical parameters for complexes 1–7 showing bond distances in Å and angles in degrees. X represents the substituent on the nitride or formal nitride ligand. For 1, X = K; 2, X = N; 3, X = C; 4, X = Si; 5, X = P; 6, X = B; 7, X = H. τs represents (β − α)/60 where β > α are the two greatest valence angles of the coordination center.85

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Complex</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ti–N</td>
<td>1.674(2)</td>
<td>1.832(3)</td>
<td>1.709(3)</td>
<td>1.730(2)</td>
<td>1.753(3)</td>
<td>1.731(2)</td>
<td>1.747(2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ti–NPN</td>
<td>2.173(2)</td>
<td>2.107(3)</td>
<td>2.093(3)</td>
<td>2.095(2)</td>
<td>2.069(2)</td>
<td>2.052(1)</td>
<td>2.060(1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ti–NPN</td>
<td>2.170(2)</td>
<td>2.091(2)</td>
<td>2.096(2)</td>
<td>2.073(2)</td>
<td>2.069(2)</td>
<td>2.065(1)</td>
<td>2.098(2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ti–P</td>
<td>2.677(9)</td>
<td>2.676(8)</td>
<td>2.677(1)</td>
<td>2.691(7)</td>
<td>2.704(1)</td>
<td>2.671(5)</td>
<td>2.681(5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ti–P</td>
<td>2.683(9)</td>
<td>2.704(8)</td>
<td>2.682(1)</td>
<td>2.709(7)</td>
<td>2.701(1)</td>
<td>2.653(6)</td>
<td>2.656(1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N–X</td>
<td>2.729(2)</td>
<td>1.250(4)</td>
<td>1.431(5)</td>
<td>1.737(2)</td>
<td>1.732(3)</td>
<td>1.395(5)</td>
<td>0.860(0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P–Ti–P</td>
<td>167.42(3)</td>
<td>166.03(4)</td>
<td>173.14(3)</td>
<td>167.14(3)</td>
<td>171.16(3)</td>
<td>175.289(2)</td>
<td>177.16(3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ti–N–X</td>
<td>135.40(1)</td>
<td>1.250(4)</td>
<td>178.5(4)</td>
<td>168.06(1)</td>
<td>162.21(6)</td>
<td>175.00(1)</td>
<td>180.0(0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NPN–Ti–NPN</td>
<td>135.67(8)</td>
<td>128.79(1)</td>
<td>129.87(1)</td>
<td>134.38(5)</td>
<td>130.02(1)</td>
<td>131.33(6)</td>
<td>130.99(6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P–Ti–NPN</td>
<td>74.88(6)</td>
<td>76.30(7)</td>
<td>75.24(8)</td>
<td>73.77(6)</td>
<td>75.88(5)</td>
<td>75.27(4)</td>
<td>74.9(4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P–Ti–NPN</td>
<td>75.11(6)</td>
<td>75.18(7)</td>
<td>75.13(8)</td>
<td>75.46(5)</td>
<td>75.88(5)</td>
<td>76.18(4)</td>
<td>75.7(0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P–Ti–N</td>
<td>95.83(8)</td>
<td>96.99(2)</td>
<td>93.46(1)</td>
<td>99.59(7)</td>
<td>94.19(2)</td>
<td>92.67(5)</td>
<td>92.52(6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P–Ti–N</td>
<td>96.75(8)</td>
<td>98.31(2)</td>
<td>93.37(1)</td>
<td>96.25(7)</td>
<td>94.19(2)</td>
<td>91.96(5)</td>
<td>90.22(7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>τs</td>
<td>0.529</td>
<td>0.621</td>
<td>0.721</td>
<td>0.557</td>
<td>0.686</td>
<td>0.733</td>
<td>0.769</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
A solid-state structural study of NMR spectrum featuring one singlet resonance at 17.19 ppm. Ti molecules have been also omitted. one depicted PN ligand have been omitted for clarity. Residual solvent

Solid state X-ray structure of complexes not display any unusual NMR spectroscopic features, with a $^{31}$P NMR spectrum featuring one singlet resonance at 17.19 ppm. A solid-state structural study of 6 shows a topologically linear Ti=N=B (175.00(1)°) due to the Lewis acid nature of the boryl group. Consequently, the former titanium nitride distance Ti–N has elongated to 1.7312(2) Å, akin to 4 (Fig. 6, Table 1). In accord with these other rare examples, the linear character of the Ti=N=B bond angle demonstrates delocalized π electrons in these bonds.

Terminal bound imides with a hydrogen substituent (referred to as a parent imide) are exceptionally rare in early transition metals, with the only documented examples for group 4 being (BDI)Ti=NH=N(C6H5)2,80,81 (BDI$^-$ = [ArN=C=CH or [ArN=C=CH2]2, Ar = 2,6-$\text{Pr}_3$C$_6$H$_3$], and trans-TiCl$_2$(NH$_2$)(OPPh$_3$)$_2$. Not surprisingly, $p_K_a$ information on parent imides is unknown, but one would expect the imide moiety to be a weak acid given the highly polarized nature of the nitride group. Conversely, the basicity of terminal nitride anions is also unknown. While these species have rarely been reported, it has been hypothesized that some parent imide complexes exist as transient, non-isolable intermediates. Since formation of 1 does not traverse through a parent imide, we treated this species with a weak acid not only to allow us entry to this rare moiety but also to provide some information about the basicity of the nitride ligand in 1. Hence, exploring various sources of a proton established HN[SiMe$_3$]$_2$ ($p_K_a = 25.8$, THF)$^{86}$ to cleanly yield the parent imide (PN)$_2$Ti=NH (7) in ~84% isolated yield (Scheme 2). The imide resonance was observed in the $^1$H NMR spectrum as a broad feature at 5.07 ppm ($\Delta r_{1/2} = 9.1$ Hz) while the $^{31}$P NMR spectrum displayed a singlet at 15.66 ppm, a resonance shifted downfield from 1. Characterization by single X-ray crystallography (Fig. 6) revealed that complex 7 favors a more trigonal bipyramidal geometry, ($\tau_5 = 0.769$), which contrasts the geometry observed for the few known group 4 transition metal parent imides having coordination numbers of four and five.$^{81}$ One notable feature is the nearly linear orientation of the phosphine groups (P–Ti–P, 177.16(3)°) when associated to other (PN)$_2$Ti scaffolds (Table 1). It is also noted that single X-ray diffraction revealed that the molecule also co-crystallizes in a 4:1 ratio with a secondary product in which the N atom of the imide has inserted into the phosphorous arm of the PN ligand, and the H atom of the parent imide has formed a bond to titanium, namely the compound “(PN)(NP)$^-$Ti(H)” (see ESI for an explanation of these co-crystals). The metal-hydride bond that is observed as a result of this transformation is under further investigation with regard to the implications this rearrangement has on reactivity of the parent imide. While the ylide Ph$_2$PCH$_2$ ($p_K_a = -22$, DMSO)$^{84}$ failed to deprotonate the imide, attempts to use stronger bases resulted in decomposition products presumably due to the reactivity of the titanium nitride product. It was found independently that complex 7 gradually decomposes over time thus precluding reactivity with stronger bases. However, attempts to protonate the nitride with disopropylamine, HN$^+$Pr$_2$ ($p_K_a = 36$, THF)$^{44}$ resulted in no reaction. This observation allowed us to narrow the $p_K_a$ range of the parent imide to be within 26–36 based on this set of control experiments. Given these $p_K_a$ ranges for the parent imide, we are able to estimate the $p_K_a$ of 1 on the order of $-20$, in accord with such a moiety being a strong base and nucleophile as demonstrated through our reactivity.

Complete N-atom transfer of the titanium nitride
A salt elimination reaction concurrent with complete N-atom transfer could be accomplished when complex 1 was treated with CIC(O)$^-$Bu in toluene. Immediate formation of a purple...
solution is evidenced upon addition of the acid chloride, and workup of the reaction mixture followed by NMR spectroscopic characterization confirmed this purple species to be the oxo complex (PN)_2Ti=O (8) (Scheme 3) in near quantitative yield, ~96%. Examination of the reaction mixture also revealed the formation of another product, which was identified as the organic nitride NCtBu based on NMR spectroscopic comparison to an authentic sample. The formation of the nitride was monitored in _1H NMR spectroscopy to be formed in the same ratio as 8 via integration. A similar transformation involving N for O/Cl exchange was originally reported by Cummins using nitride complexes such as N≡W[N(Pr)Ar] (Ar = 3,5-Me_2C_6H_3) or the salt [Na[N≡Nb(Np)[Ar]_3] (Np = CH_3C≡Bu). Likewise, Veige more recently reported N-atom transfer to various acid chlorides using [′BuCO]_2Mo≡N[Na(DMF)]_2 [′BuOOC≡O]^- = 2,6-C_6H_3(6′-BuC_6H_5O)_2]. In their studies the authors were able to isolate the acylimido intermediate [′BuOOC≡O]Mo≡NC(O)Bu, and mechanistically probe for an azametallacylobutene species _en route_ to N for O exchange.  

Complex 8 was characterized by _1H and _31P NMR spectroscopy and the latter displayed a sharp singlet at 13.3 ppm. Although there are no clear diagnostic signatures for 8 in the _1H NMR spectrum, monitoring the reaction mixture by _1H NMR spectroscopy revealed clean formation of a resonance at 0.8 ppm consistent with the pivaloyl nitride (NCtBu). Single crystal X-ray diffraction studies of 8 are in accord with a five-coordinate, mononuclear complex having a terminal oxo group, with a Ti=O length of 1.644(2) Å (Fig. 7), well within the range of many reported terminal titanium oxo moieties. Rather unsurprisingly, the oxo complex closely parallels the molecular geometry of the parent imide, complex 7, with a _τ_ value of 0.769 and very similar P-Ti=P angle of 179.08(3). Furthermore, we explored the unusual purple color of this Ti(iv) complex _via_ UV–vis analysis. The extremely low intensity absorption observed at 550 nm (ε = 174.8 M^-1 cm^-1) possibly corresponds to a similar phenomenon like that observed in MnO_4^- , where the complex absorbs yellow light to promote a LMCT band.

Complex 1 can also transfer the nitride atom to other carboxylic containing groups such as the ketene O≡C=CPh_2 (Scheme 3). Accordingly, addition of the ketene to 1 rapidly produces the signature purple color indicative in formation of 8, as confirmed by both _1H and _31P NMR spectroscopy. However, upon addition of the ketene a salt is also produced which could be readily separated from the mixture _via_ filtration. Given the insoluble nature of this yellow solid (presumably KN≡C=CPh), we proceeded to treat it with Me_3SiCl, immediately forming a salt (KCl) and a neutral species which was unequivocally identified to be the azallene Me_3SiN≡C=CPh_2 based on _1H NMR spectroscopic comparison to a literature report.  

**Solid state _15N NMR spectroscopic studies of titanium nitrides**

Isolation of dinuclear and mononuclear nitrides of titanium presented us with a rare opportunity to investigate their axial symmetry. Hence, we prepared the 50% _15N enriched complex 1- _15N and converted it to the terminal nitride [K(2,2,2-Kryptofix)][(PN)_2Ti≡_15N] by addition of the cryptand (2,2,2-Kryptofix = 4,7,13,16,21,24-hexaoxa-1,10-diazabicyclo[8.8.8]hexacosane). We were able to analyze this discrete salt by magic angle spinning (MAS) _15N NMR spectroscopy. In accord with our expectations, this species reveals a significantly downfield chemical shift (δ_15N = 902 ppm), which is attributable to a highly deshielded terminal nitride species (Fig. 8). As presented in Fig. 8, the simulated _15N NMR solid state spectrum agrees well with experimentally collected values in both solid and solution state phases (δ = 958 ppm). Tensor components span a large chemical shift anisotropy (CSA) of nearly 1500 ppm, with δ_∥ ≈ δ_22 = 1392 ± 50 and δ_∥ = 1392 ± 50. Such anisotropy has been similarly observed in other mononuclear metal nitride species, namely 15N≡Mo(N′BuAr) (Ar′ = 3,5-Me_2C_6H_3) and [K(OEt_2)][[′BuBDI]Ti≡_15N[Ntolyl_12]] (′BuBDI^- = [ArNCtBu]_2CH; Ar = 2,6-Pr_2C_6H_3), which also have a range of several hundred ppm for CSA in their tensor components. The observed _15N NMR chemical shift tensors were then used to compute the axial symmetry component, κ = 3(δ_∥ − δ_15N)/(δ_∥ − δ_12) = 1 ± 0.2. Gratifyingly, the κ value close to unity supports the triply bound nature of the Ti-nitride moiety in [K(2,2,2-Kryptofix)][(PN)_2Ti≡_15N], and which is the favorable bonding due to
a pseudo-tetragonal environment shown in Fig. 3 (vide supra). The \( \kappa \) value observed here deviates notably from that reported previously for a less symmetrical Ti-nitride containing the BDI ligand, which has \( \kappa = 0.64. \)"

**Computational studies scrutinizing the titanium nitride functionality**

To understand the observed characteristic reactivity and some of the measured physicochemical properties of the titanium nitride functionality we carried out a comprehensive computational and theoretical study on the putative anion \([\text{PN}]_2\text{Ti}\equiv\text{N}]^-\) as well as complexes 1 and \([\text{K}(18\text{-crown-6})]\)[\([\text{PN}]_2\text{Ti}\equiv\text{N}]^\text{−}\), that we recently characterized. Using the X-ray structures as guiding geometries, the slightly truncated models were fully optimized using the BLYP functional in combination with the Def2-TZVP(-f) basis set.\(^9\) The structural modifications included only the replacement of two \( \text{para-} \)methyl substituents to hydrogens in each \( \text{PN}^- \) ligand and were introduced to facilitate an all-electron DFT investigation for systems of the size of 1, which still consists of 232 atoms after truncation. Dispersion has been also taken into account during optimizations using Grimme’s D3 method.\(^9\) Dispersion turned out to be a critical component of our computational practice as it significantly contributed to finding accurate equilibrium geometries for both the dimer species, 1 and 2, and for monometallic systems, that is \([\text{PN}]_2\text{Ti}\equiv\text{N}]^-\), \([\text{K}(18\text{-crown-6})]\)[\([\text{PN}]_2\text{Ti}\equiv\text{N}]^\text{−}\). It is important to note that we observed a substantial improvement in the computed distances of weak Ti-P and K\(^+\)···N interactions for the latter systems when compared to our earlier simulations without dispersion.\(^9\) As a matter of fact, the computational protocol outlined in the ESI† might offer useful practical solutions to few of the inborn weaknesses of standard DFT for such very extended transition-metal- and alkali-metal-containing systems. For example, one might obtain more realistic results for the notoriously overestimated bond lengths of weak metal–ligand interactions when taking into account interligand dispersion. One might be able to discard the surreal behavior of alkali-metal(s) in simulations, which was recently noted by Holland and co-workers to be detrimental for a systematic computational study on the alkali metal effect on Fe≡N=N=Fe functionalities.\(^7\) As Fig. 9 reveals, the computed equilibrium structures are very similar to the experimentally determined molecular geometries established by single crystal X-ray diffraction studies. Also, in excellent agreement with our experiments, calculations predict the \( ^{15}\text{N} \) NMR spectroscopic chemical shifts to be 913 ppm, 970 ppm and 878 ppm using the slightly truncated models of \([\text{PN}]_2\text{Ti}\equiv\text{N}]^-\), \([\text{K}(18\text{-crown-6})]\)[\([\text{PN}]_2\text{Ti}\equiv\text{N}]^\text{−}\), and 1, respectively. These two benchmarks convincingly imply that our computer models capture the most salient features of the electronic structure of these molecular systems reasonably well and that the introduced structural simplification is acceptable. In particular, the agreement between the computed and experimentally observed effect of alkali-metal coordination on \( ^{15}\text{N} \) NMR spectroscopic resonances supports the notion that the corresponding electronic structural changes are captured computationally in at least a plausible fashion.

**Fig. 8** Simulated (top) and observed (bottom) solid state MAS\(^{15}\text{N} \) NMR spectrum of \([\text{K}(2,2,2-\text{Kryptofix})]\)[\([\text{PN}]_2\text{Ti}\equiv\text{N}]^\text{−}\).

**Fig. 9** Equilibrium geometries for \([\text{PN}]_2\text{Ti}\equiv\text{N}]^-\), \([\text{K}(18\text{-crown-6})]\)[\([\text{PN}]_2\text{Ti}\equiv\text{N}]^\text{−}\) and 1 together with the most important structural metrics computed and determined by single crystal X-ray diffraction (grey). Also given are the computed and measured \( ^{15}\text{N} \) NMR chemical shifts of the nitride centers as well as Mulliken charges of titanium, \( q(\text{Ti}) \), and the nitride center, \( q(\text{N}) \) together with the Mayer bond order (BO) index of the Ti\equiv N interaction.
As noted in our earlier communication, various computed electronic structure descriptors imply that the main difference in the Ti-N\textsubscript{nitr} bonding is the different covalent/ionic character of the bond in the monomeric species [K(18-crown-6)][(PN)\textsubscript{2}Ti=N] and [(PN)\textsubscript{2}Ti=\textsubscript{N}]. Namely, the Ti=\textsubscript{N} bond in [(PN)\textsubscript{2}Ti=\textsubscript{N}] exhibits a greater degree of covalent character than in [K(18-crown-6)][(PN)\textsubscript{2}Ti=N]. In the case of the latter, the proximity of the K\textsuperscript{+} ion electrostatically stabilizes the highly charged nitrde center and, conversely, induces a density shift from the Ti center towards the N\textsubscript{nitr}. This electron density shift induced by the proximity of K\textsuperscript{+} renders the titanium-nitride bond more ionic in [K(18-crown-6)][(PN)\textsubscript{2}Ti=N] than in [(PN)\textsubscript{2}Ti=\textsubscript{N}]. As a matter of fact, the different ionic character can be clearly witnessed in the computed atomic charges of Ti (0.53 e in [(PN)\textsubscript{2}Ti=\textsubscript{N}] and 0.87 e in [K(18-crown-6)][(PN)\textsubscript{2}Ti=N]) and N (−0.63 e in [(PN)\textsubscript{2}Ti=\textsubscript{N}] vs. −0.88 e in [K(18-crown-6)][(PN)\textsubscript{2}Ti=N]) (Fig. 10). The reduced bond order in [K(18-crown-6)][(PN)\textsubscript{2}Ti=N] (2.36 vs. 2.54 in [(PN)\textsubscript{2}Ti=\textsubscript{N}]) also conforms to a lower degree of covalent character of the Ti=\textsubscript{N} bond in this structure. These pronounced differences in Ti–N\textsubscript{nitr} bonding are further enhanced with the presence of two potassium ions in 1. In particular, atomic charges (q(Ti) = 1.02 e and q(N) = −0.975 e) as well as the reduced bond order of 2.27 indicate the higher ionic and lower covalent character of the Ti=\textsubscript{N} bond in 1 to either [K(18-crown-6)][(PN)\textsubscript{2}Ti=\textsubscript{N}] or [(PN)\textsubscript{2}Ti=\textsubscript{N}] (Fig. 9).

More thorough insights into the electronic structure changes induced by the alkali-metal ion are gained through a close inspection of the molecular orbitals (MOs) that describe the bonding of the titanium-nitride functionality. A conceptual MO diagram that highlights the most relevant molecular orbitals of the metal–nitride interactions is given in Fig. 3, implying one σ and two π-bonds and a lone pair at N\textsubscript{nitr}. Instead of the expected four MOs representing these functions, however, Fig. 10 depicts six occupied orbitals for each Ti=\textsubscript{N} functionality merely because the σ-bond as well as one of the Ti–N π-bonds can be defined by two MOs. In detail, the Ti–N\textsubscript{nitr} σ-interaction of each Ti=\textsubscript{N} functionality in Fig. 10 is represented by two MOs, \(\sigma^d\) and \(\sigma^s\), which evolve due to the formation of a bonding (b) and an anti-bonding (a) combination with a π-type orbital of the PN\textsuperscript{−} ligand(s). Similarly, orbitals \(\pi^d_1\) and \(\pi^d_2\), which appear again as a bonding/anti-bonding pair with a PN\textsuperscript{−} ligand orbital, together characterize one of the Ti–N\textsubscript{nitr} π-interactions. In addition, \(\pi_2\) represents the other π-type interaction which lies about in the P–Ti–P plane whereas, as hypothesized in Fig. 3, the lone pair at N\textsubscript{nitr} has a strong component of s atomic orbital character and it is of low energy.

Although the crown ether-encapsulated K\textsuperscript{+} breaks the symmetry in [K(18-crown-6)][(PN)\textsubscript{2}Ti=\textsubscript{N}] as well as it alters the ordering of the orbitals, the computed MOs characterize the same Ti–N\textsubscript{nitr} interactions as for [(PN)\textsubscript{2}Ti=\textsubscript{N}]. Moreover, the analogous MOs can also be intuitively recognized for the dimer species 1 (Fig. 10), for which only the symmetric combinations are illustrated for clarity. The side-by-side comparison of the corresponding MOs reveal that the σ-interaction, mostly \(\sigma^d\), as well as \(\pi^d_2\) experiences a significant change in character when being confronted by the alkali metal ion(s); the atomic contribution of Ti decreases whereas that of N\textsubscript{nitr} increases in these MOs when going from [(PN)\textsubscript{2}Ti=\textsubscript{N}] to [K(18-crown-6)][(PN)\textsubscript{2}Ti=\textsubscript{N}] or 1. This difference in corresponding MOs conforms to the additional polarization of the Ti–N\textsubscript{nitr} bond that was discussed above and which was supported with charge distribution measures and bond descriptors. Finally, the stabilization effect of the nearby cation on the electron rich N\textsubscript{nitr} can be clearly witnessed in the computed orbital energies, which are much more negative in 1 and in [K(18-crown-6)][(PN)\textsubscript{2}Ti=\textsubscript{N}] than in [(PN)\textsubscript{2}Ti=\textsubscript{N}].

The observed and computed \(^{15}\text{N}\) NMR chemical shift of N\textsubscript{nitr} is not only useful for precisely determining the identity of these species in solution, but can also be utilized as a diagnostic tool that gives direct information on the chemical environment about N\textsubscript{nitr} and, as such, on the Ti–N\textsubscript{nitr} interaction. In particular, the gradual upfield shift of 50–30 ppm when going from [(PN)\textsubscript{2}Ti=\textsubscript{N}] to [K(18-crown-6)][(PN)\textsubscript{2}Ti=\textsubscript{N}] and 1 suggests a subtle change in the electronic structure due to the nearby cation(s). In order to put this trend of \(^{15}\text{N}\) chemical shift into context with the underlying electronic structures it is critical to realize that magnetic shielding of heavy nuclei does not directly correlate with the electron density at the nucleus. Rather, heavy nuclei, such as \(^{15}\text{N}\), have access to low-energy molecular orbitals of p and d-type atomic contributions that make the electron density around these nuclei very dynamic in the sense that local fluctuations of the electron cloud become more prevalent in an external magnetic field. The magnetic shielding that results from these electron density fluctuations is conventionally referred to as paramagnetic shielding, \(\sigma^p\), and is typically more sensitive to the changes in chemical bonding than the diamagnetic shielding, \(\sigma^d\), which originates from tightly-bound electron density at the nucleus. In a recent study we discussed the basic relationships of such density fluctuations with MOs, shielding tensors and chemical shifts and, by scrutinizing vanadium- and molybdenum-cyclo-P\textsubscript{4} complexes, we showed how a thorough analysis of shielding tensors of heavy nuclei can lead to a conceptual understanding of the bonding characteristics of unusual transition metal–ligand interactions.

To understand what makes the N\textsubscript{nitr} nucleus more shielded in the case of K\textsuperscript{+} ligated species, we computed and analyzed the \(^{15}\text{N}\) magnetic shielding tensors of the above-described slightly truncated versions of the complexes [(PN)\textsubscript{2}Ti=\textsubscript{N}], [K(18-crown-6)][(PN)\textsubscript{2}Ti=\textsubscript{N}] and 1. In general, the magnetic shielding at a NMR-active nucleus and the resulting chemical shift can be calculated from first principles to a reasonable degree of accuracy\textsuperscript{79–101} and, accordingly, the computed values shown in Fig. 10 also agree well with measured solution-state \(^{15}\text{N}\) NMR spectroscopic resonances. In addition, the above-discussed large chemical shift anisotropy (CSA) is reproduced computationally (−1500 ppm vs. 1470 ppm) for [(PN)\textsubscript{2}Ti=\textsubscript{N}] as well as the corresponding principal components of the shielding tensor (\(\delta_{11} = 1562.2\) ppm, \(\delta_{22} = 1285.0\) ppm and \(\delta_{33} = 61.9\) ppm) are in line with the experimentally determined components in solid state (\(\delta_{11} \approx \delta_{22} = 1392 \pm 50\) ppm and \(\delta_{33} = −78 \pm 50\) ppm) for [K(2,2,2-Kryptofix)][(PN)\textsubscript{2}Ti=\textsubscript{N}]. Due to omitting the K(2,2,2-Kryptofix)\textsuperscript{−} counter ion and other
condensed phase effects in the simulations, however, a difference of about 280 ppm between $\delta_{11}$ and $\delta_{22}$ appears in silico, which manifests in an underestimated axial symmetry component ($\kappa = 0.63$ vs. app. 1) for the computationally considered model system, $[\text{PN}]_2\text{Ti} == \text{N}^-$ . As expected, the diamagnetic term displays a narrow range of shielding contributions with computed $\sigma^d$ values of 339.7, 341.3 and 347.7 ppm for $^{15}\text{N}_{\text{nitrile}}$ in $[\text{PN}]_2\text{Ti} == \text{N}^-$, $[\text{K}(18\text{-crown-6})][\text{PN}]_2\text{Ti} == \text{N}^-$ and 1, respectively. The paramagnetic contribution, on the other hand, differs significantly with computed shielding parameters of $-1050.3$, $-996.3$ and $-967.3$ ppm, respectively. These values illustrate the general concept mentioned above that $\sigma^p$ dominates the overall shielding of heavy nuclei and, thus, determines the chemical shift $\delta_{\text{avg}}$ against a reference.

Fig. 10 Most relevant occupied MOs for $[\text{PN}]_2\text{Ti} == \text{N}^-$, $[\text{K}(18\text{-crown-6})][\text{PN}]_2\text{Ti} == \text{N}^-$ and 1 describing the electronic structure of the Ti==N functionality. One of the unoccupied orbitals, $\pi^*$, which is critical for the paramagnetic shielding of N$_{\text{nitrile}}$ is also depicted.
As we demonstrated recently, the thorough analysis of the paramagnetic contribution to the shielding can be challenging, because one has to decompose the sum of a great number of individual magnetic fields originating from occupied–unoccupied orbital pairs that couple through the angular momentum operator. In addition, the magnetic fields generated by what is most spontaneously understood as electron density fluctuations are hard to visualize or imagine. Finding a few numbers of distinguishing orbital-pairs that make a decisive contribution and that are characteristic for the certain species under investigation is the key to understanding such paramagnetic shielding. Nevertheless, we could pinpoint one occupied orbital, \( \sigma^* \), whose contribution to shielding changes significantly in the presence of a nearby K⁺ (Fig. 10). For example, the total paramagnetic shielding contribution of \( \sigma^* \) is \(-504.6 \text{ ppm} \) in \([(PN)_2\text{Ti}=\text{N}^-] \) whereas it drops to \(-128.0 \text{ ppm} \) in \([\text{K}(18\text{-crown-6})][(PN)_2\text{Ti}=\text{N}^-] \) and even becomes shielding \((157.6 \text{ ppm}) \) in \(1\). This \( \sigma^* \) MO couples most intensively with the vacant \( \pi^* \) orbitals corresponding to the antibonding combinations of \( \text{Ti}^{}–\text{Nitride} \) \( \pi \) bonds, e.g. \( \pi_1^* \) illustrated in Fig. 10. In this context it is worth noting that Morokuma, Schrock, Griﬃn and Cummins reported very similar ﬁndings for the unusual \(^{31}\text{P} \) NMR chemical shielding tensors of terminal phosphide \((\text{M}=\text{P}) \) complexes of molybdenum and tungsten. In the latter systems the \( \sigma(\text{M}=\text{P}) \) and \( \pi^*(\text{M}=\text{P}) \) MO mixing makes the primary contribution to the \(^{31}\text{P} \) paramagnetic shielding due to the components of the applied magnetic ﬁeld which are oriented perpendicular to the \( \text{M}=\text{P} \) bond. Fig. 10 also illustrates how the paramagnetic shielding contribution of \( \sigma^* \leftrightarrow \pi_1^* \) mixing varies for each nitride species. The coupling of these orbitals in an external magnetic ﬁeld induces a density ﬂow that has a deshielding effect in \([(PN)_2\text{Ti}=\text{N}^-] \), whereas it generates a shielding effect in \([\text{K}(18\text{-crown-6})][(PN)_2\text{Ti}=\text{N}^-] \) and \(1\), where the K⁺ affects the bonding characteristics. The energy gap \((\Delta E) \) between interacting orbitals is also given in Fig. 10, as the coupling efﬁciency is inversely proportional to the energy difference between the interacting orbitals. For example, the \(^{31}\text{P} \) NMR chemical shift of triple bonded phosphorus has been found to correlate very well with the \( \sigma–\pi^* \) energy gap as well as we also reported the signiﬁcance of energy gap of coupling orbitals in determining the chemical shielding of phosphorus nuclei in metal-cyclo-P₃ complexes. The very small differences in energy gaps \((\Delta E) \) in Fig. 10, however, cannot account for the qualitatively different paramagnetic shielding effects of \( \sigma–\pi^* \) coupling in the studied systems. Rather, the qualitative difference of paramagnetic contributions, i.e. deshielding in \([(PN)_2\text{Ti}=\text{N}^-] \) and more shielding in K⁺-ligated systems, implies that the spatial distribution of these molecular orbitals alters upon K⁺ coordination. Accordingly, the localization of \( \sigma^* \) on the nitride center as well as the localization of \( \pi_1^* \) on Ti in K⁺-ligated systems results in a constructive orbital overlap when these orbitals couple through the angular momentum operator, in contrast to the destructive overlap (deshielding effect) in naked \([(PN)_2\text{Ti}=\text{N}^-] \). Hence, the upﬁeld shift of \(^{15}\text{N} \) nitride is linked to the K⁺ induced electronic structure change of the titanium-nitride functionality as demonstrated through a quantum chemical analysis of the corresponding shielding tensors.

Finally, our calculations reveal, in line with the N−K distances larger than 2.7 Å, that the Ti=–N−–K⁺ interaction is merely electrostatic in nature without any sign of covalent contribution. This electrostatic interaction, beyond inducing the above-scrutinized change of the Ti=–N functionality, represents the main source of thermodynamic stabilization and driving force for forming well-structured complexes such as \([\text{K}(18\text{-crown-6})][(PN)_2\text{Ti}=\text{N}] \) and the dimer \(1\).

**Conclusions**

No previous study has thoroughly explored or elucidated the reactivity of molecular titanium nitrides prior to the work presented herein. Our ﬁndings imply in general that the Ti=–N functionality is a very strong nucleophile, which readily reacts with electrophilic reagents to serve as a full or partial N-atom transfer reagent. A combined experimental and theoretical investigation has helped us understand the bonding and structure in these systems as well as rationalize the nature of the \(^{15}\text{N} \) chemical shift of the nitride ligand and the role of the K⁺ counteraction. Looking forward, we seek to further understand how this nitride moiety can be tuned and modiﬁed to generate ammonia under hydrogen, and further, generate the nitride instead from nitrogen as opposed to azide to extend the scope of this chemistry to a more sustainable and atom-efﬁcient process.
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Bordwell’s table of the corresponding acidity of the phosphonium was used to calculate the basicity of the ylide which can be found at http://www.chem.wisc.edu/areas/reich/pktatable/. For calculating pK<sub>B</sub> from pK<sub>a</sub> in DMSO and assuming non-protonic conditions: pK<sub>B</sub> = log<sub>10</sub> pK<sub>a</sub> and K<sub>B</sub> = ([H<sup>+</sup>][A<sup>-</sup>])/[HA]<sup>-</sup> and hence K<sub>B</sub> = ([HA]/[H<sup>+</sup>][A<sup>-</sup>]). Therefor in an aprotic solvent, K<sub>B</sub> = 1/(10<sup>pK<sub>B</sub></sup>).


